arXiv:1402.0283v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 3 Feb 2014

Fermionic functional renormalization group with multiple regulators and the BCS-BEC crossover

Yuya Tanizaki

Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan
Theoretical Research Division, Nishina Center, RIKEN, Wako 351-0198, Japan

E-mail: yuya.tanizaki@riken.jp

Abstract. New formulation of fermionic functional renormalization group (f-FRG) with multiple regulators is proposed. It is applied to a two-component fermionic system with an attractive contact interaction in order to study the whole region of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer to Bose-Einstein condensation crossover. Combining a conventional formalism of FRG with a two-point infrared (IR) regulator and a new formalism with an IR regulator inside the four-fermion vertex, we control both one-particle fermion excitations and collective bosonic excitations. This justifies a simple approximation on the f-FRG method, so that the connection of the f-FRG formalism to the Nozières-Schmitt-Rink (NSR) theory is made clear. Aspects of f-FRG to go beyond the NSR theory are also discussed.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Hi, 67.85.Hj, 74.20.Fg

1. Introduction

Functional renormalization group (FRG) [1–3] is a pragmatic realization of Wilson's idea of renormalization group [4]. Functional implementation of coarse-graining realizes an exact evolution of an effective action involving quantum and thermal fluctuations, and enables us to calculate physical quantities of various quantum systems. Especially for many-fermion systems, fermionic FRG (f-FRG) without bosonic auxiliary fields provides a systematic and unbiased analysis. This is because one can work with original fermionic degrees of freedom of the system in f-FRG [5–8]. Importance of this property of f-FRG cannot be emphasized too much. It makes f-FRG complementary to the auxiliary field method, which requires *a priori* knowledge on the ground state property.

We consider applications of the f-FRG method to the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) to Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) crossover of the two-component fermionic system with an attractive contact interaction [9, 10]. In our previous papers [11–13], we studied each side of the BCS-BEC crossover separately using f-FRG. In the BCS side, relation of f-FRG to the BCS theory and its Gorkov and Melik-Barkhudarov correction [14] is clarified and, furthermore, the effect of the self-energy correction on the critical temperature is studied by systematically improving the treatment of the flow equation of f-FRG [11, 12]. On the other hand, a totally new formalism of f-FRG is proposed by introducing an additional four-fermion vertex (vertex infrared regulator) in order to describe the BEC side of the crossover without bosonization [13].

The purpose of this paper is to formulate the new formalism of f-FRG with multiple regulators for describing the whole region of the BCS-BEC crossover using f-FRG. As a first application of this new formulation of f-FRG, we investigate how it can describe the Nozières and Schmitt-Rink (NSR) theory [15]. The NSR theory is a conventional theory of the BCS-BEC crossover based on resummation of the Feynman diagrammatic technique: It extends the BCS theory by solving the BCS gap equation with the number equation, in which the effect of the pairing fluctuation is taken into account by summing up the series of ring diagrams. It is believed that qualitative features of the BCS-BEC crossover are captured by the NSR theory. Therefore, relation of f-FRG to the NSR theory must provide physical intuitions on the flow equation of f-FRG. Furthermore, revealing such aspects of f-FRG opens a way for quantitative understandings of the BCS-BEC crossover in future studies.

In the naive f-FRG formalism which controls only one-particle fermionic excitations by the two-point regulator, taking into account the pairing fluctuation has been a difficult task. Since low-energy collective excitations emerge and are out of control, we lack systematic approximations for the flow equation of the naive f-FRG. Indeed, due to the existence of uncontrolled low-energy excitations, physics of different energy scales must be treated simultaneously. As a result, nonlocal properties of Green functions play an important role when solving the RG flow.

The use of vertex infrared (IR) regulator, however, can be shown to overcome this problem [13]. Combining the FRG methods of two different IR regulators for

fermion propagators and four-fermion vertices, the f-FRG flow becomes systematically controllable. This validates simple treatment of the self-energy with nontrivial momentum dependence by successfully separating physics of different energy scales. The NSR theory is naturally derived based on our f-FRG formalism without bosonization, because such momentum dependence of the self-energy comes from the pairing fluctuation. Perspectives of the f-FRG method are also discussed for more quantitative analysis to exceed the NSR theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2, we specify a model of the two-component fermionic system, and propose the new formalism of f-FRG with multiple regulators. We introduce two different kinds of IR regulators and consider a two-parameter flow equation. In Sec.3, formal properties of the flow equation are discussed. Since the contact interaction model contains ultraviolet (UV) divergence, the UV renormalization of the flow equation is studied at first. Taking the vertex expansion of the renormalized flow equation, we consider optimization of the RG flow about the choice of IR regulators. In Sec.4, we derive the NSR theory of the BCS-BEC crossover based on the flow equation of f-FRG without bosonization. We first study the RG flow of the four-fermion vertex and observe that one-particle fermion excitations decouple from the flow equation. Using this property, we can solve the flow equation of the self-energy, which solution correctly reproduces the number equation of the NSR theory. In Sec.5, some perspectives of our f-FRG method are discussed to go beyond the NSR theory. In Sec.6, we summarize our results. In Appendix A, we derive the same expression for the number density also by calculating the free energy. This shows consistency of our approximations on the f-FRG formalism.

2. Fermionic FRG formalism with multiple IR regulators

Let us consider the non-relativistic two-component fermions $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_\uparrow \\ \psi_\downarrow \end{pmatrix}$ with a contact interaction:

$$S[\overline{\psi},\psi] = \int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau \int \mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{x} \left[\overline{\psi} \left(\partial_\tau - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - \mu \right) \psi(x) + g \overline{\psi}_\uparrow \overline{\psi}_\downarrow \psi_\downarrow \psi_\uparrow(x) \right], \quad (1)$$

with $\beta(=1/T)$ the inverse temperature, μ the chemical potential, m the fermion mass, and g the bare coupling constant. The ultraviolet (UV) regularized form of (1) in the momentum space is given by

$$S[\overline{\psi},\psi] = \int_p^{(T)} \overline{\psi}_p G^{-1}(p) \psi_p + g \int_p^{(T)} e^{-ip^0 0^+} \int_{q,q' \le \Lambda}^{(T)} \overline{\psi}_{\uparrow,\frac{p}{2}+q} \overline{\psi}_{\downarrow,\frac{p}{2}-q} \psi_{\downarrow,\frac{p}{2}-q'} \psi_{\uparrow,\frac{p}{2}+q'}, \quad (2)$$

where $G^{-1}(p) = ip^0 + \boldsymbol{p}^2/2m - \mu$ with $p = (p^0, \boldsymbol{p})$, $\psi_{\sigma,p}$ denotes Fourier coefficient of $\psi_\sigma(x)$, $\int_p^{(T)} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{p^0}$, and "$\le \Lambda$" in the relative momentum integration denotes the spatial momentum UV cutoff for $\boldsymbol{q}$ and $\boldsymbol{q}'$. Renormalization condition can be denoted for the bare coupling g using the scattering length a_s as $g^{-1} = m/4\pi a_s - m\Lambda/2\pi^2$.

In order to describe the BCS-BEC crossover using f-FRG without introducing auxiliary bosonic fields, it is important to control the renormalization group flow with

a good manner, so that a systematic approximations become valid. For this purpose, appropriate infrared (IR) regulators have to be introduced in order that all kinds of low-energy excitations of the system are suppressed: In the case of the BCS-BEC crossover, we must control both fermionic one-particle excitations and bosonic collective excitations. This fact is closely related to optimization of the FRG flow, which will be discussed in a later section (Sec.3.3). Since fermionic excitations are described by the two-point Green function, we introduce an additional two-point function

$$\delta S^{(f)}_{k_1}[\overline{\psi},\psi] = \int_p^{(T)} \overline{\psi}_p R^{(f)}_{k_1}(\boldsymbol{p})\psi_p, \tag{3}$$

with $R^{(f)}_{k_1}$ an IR regulating function, which regulate fermionic one-particle excitations with excitation energies $\lesssim k_1^2/2m$. On the other hand, collective bosonic excitations turn out to be described as a pole of the four-point Green function. Therefore, in order to suppress bosonic excitations with excitation energies $\lesssim k_2^2/4m$, we introduce a vertex IR regulator [13]:

$$\delta S^{(b)}_{k_2}[\overline{\psi},\psi] = \int_p^{(T)} \frac{g^2 R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p}) e^{-ip^0 0^+}}{1 - g R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p})} \int_{q,q'\leq\Lambda}^{(T)} \overline{\psi}_{\uparrow,\frac{p}{2}+q}\overline{\psi}_{\downarrow,\frac{p}{2}-q}\psi_{\downarrow,\frac{p}{2}-q'}\psi_{\uparrow,\frac{p}{2}+q'}, \tag{4}$$

with $R^{(b)}_{k_2}$ an IR regulating function. We denote this coefficient by $g_{k_2}(p)$: $g_{k_2}(p) = g^2 R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p})/(1 - g R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p}))$. Note that adding this vertex IR regulator shifts the inverse bare coupling g^{-1} by a finite quantity $-R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p})$, and thus Green functions are still UV-finite with this new additional four-fermion interaction.

For simplicity of notations, let us introduce a field variable $\phi = (\overline{\psi},\psi)$. The (k_1,k_2)-dependent Schwinger functional $W_{k_1,k_2}[J]$ is defined by

$$\exp(W_{k_1,k_2}[J]) = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left[-\left(S[\phi] + \delta S^{(f)}_{k_1}[\phi] + \delta S^{(b)}_{k_2}[\phi]\right) + J^\alpha \phi_\alpha\right]. \tag{5}$$

In this expression, α runs over all the arguments of field variables ϕ: coordinates x, internal degrees of freedom σ, and charges of the global U(1) symmetry ± 1 for $\overline{\psi}$ and ψ, respectively. The (k_1,k_2)-dependent one-particle-irreducible (1PI) effective action $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi]$ is defined as the Legendre transformation of the Schwinger functional, in the sense that

$$\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] + \delta S^{(f)}_{k_1}[\varphi] = J^\alpha[\varphi]\varphi_\alpha - W_{k_1,k_2}\left[J[\varphi]\right], \tag{6}$$

where $J[\varphi]$ is the inverse function of $\delta_L W_{k_1,k_2}[J]/\delta J = \varphi$ and $\delta_L/\delta J$ is the left functional derivative. This is the generating functional of the 1PI effective vertices at the scale (k_1,k_2).

In terms of the parameter k_1, the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi]$ obeys the flow equation [1–3]:

$$\partial_{k_1}\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{STr}\left[\frac{\partial_{k_1} R^{(f)}_{k_1}}{\Gamma^{(2)}_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] + R^{(f)}_{k_1}}\right], \tag{7}$$

where $\Gamma^{(2)}_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] = \frac{\delta_L}{\delta\varphi}\frac{\delta_R}{\delta\varphi}\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi]$ is the field-dependent propagator, and STr denotes the supertrace in the functional space. This equation is sometimes called the Wetterich

equation. When the UV cutoff Λ is fixed, the initial condition of the flow equation is given by $\Gamma_{k_1=\infty,k_2}[\varphi] = S[\varphi] + \delta S^{(b)}_{k_2}[\varphi]$ with a possible field-independent part. For this boundary condition, $R^{(f)}_{k_1}$ must diverge in the limit $k_1 \to \infty$, and $R^{(f)}_{k_1=0} = 0$ since the 1PI effective action of the original theory (2) must be calculated in this limit.

The k_2-dependence of Γ_{k_1,k_2} is obtained from the following flow equation [13]:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{k_2}\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] = \frac{1}{4!}\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\Big(&\varphi_{\alpha_1}\varphi_{\alpha_2}\varphi_{\alpha_3}\varphi_{\alpha_4} + 6\varphi_{\alpha_1}\varphi_{\alpha_2}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_3\alpha_4} \\ &+ 3G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_1\alpha_2}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_3\alpha_4} + 4\varphi_{\alpha_1}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_2\beta_2}G_{k,\alpha_3\beta_3}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_4\beta_4}\Gamma^{(3),\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}_{k_1,k_2} \\ &+ G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_1\beta_1}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_2\beta_2}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_3\beta_3}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_4\beta_4}\Gamma^{(4),\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}_{k_1,k_2} \\ &+ 3G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_1\beta_1}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_2\beta_2}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_3\beta_3}G_{k_1k_2,\alpha_4\beta_4}G_{k_1k_2,\gamma_1\gamma_2}\Gamma^{(3),\beta_1\beta_2\gamma_1}_{k_1,k_2}\Gamma^{(3),\gamma_2\beta_3\beta_4}_{k_1,k_2}\Big), \quad (8)\end{aligned}$$

with $G_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] = \left(\Gamma^{(2)}_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi] + R^{(f)}_{k_1}\right)^{-1}$ the field dependent propagator, and $\Gamma^{(n)}_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi]$ the n-th functional derivative of $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi]$. Diagrammatic expression of the flow equation (8) is given in Fig.1. The boundary condition of the RG flow is easily specified by requiring $R^{(b)}_{k_2} \to \infty$ when $k_2 \to \infty$. When the UV cutoff Λ is fixed, the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\varphi]$ converges to the free action in the limit $k_2 \to \infty$ because $g_{k_2=\infty} = -g$. Since the RG flow must converge to the 1PI effective action of (2), we also require $R^{(b)}_{k_2=0} = 0$.

When the UV-cutoff Λ is removed in order to realize the contact interaction, the flow equation (8) with the vertex IR regulator must be treated carefully. In the Wetterich equation (7), the derivative $\partial_{k_1} R^{(f)}_{k_1}$ of the fermion IR regulator introduces a UV-cutoff at the energy scale $k_1^2/2m$ in the loop integration, and thus it is free from UV divergences. However, the derivative of the vertex IR regulator $\partial_{k_2} R^{(b)}_{k_2}$ does not introduce any UV-cutoff for fermion closed loops, and then the flow equation (8) can contain UV-divergent diagrams. This problem is considered in the next section.

3. Formal aspects of flow equations

In this section, we study formal aspects of flow equations for the BCS-BEC crossover, and consider optimization of the f-FRG flow about IR regulators. In Sec.3.1, we remove an explicit UV cutoff dependence of the flow equation of f-FRG with a vertex IR regulator. This part is also important to simplify the flow equation in terms of the parameter k_2 for a contact interaction model. In Sec.3.2, the vertex expansion is applied to flow equations, and the flow equations of the self-energy and the four-point 1PI vertex are derived. In Sec.3.3, we consider optimization of the flow equation by choosing appropriate IR regulators.



Figure 1. Flow equation of the effective action. Bold lines represent field-dependent propagators, blobs represent $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$, and square boxes denote field-dependent 1PI effective vertices.



Figure 2. The diagram, which must be contained in the diagram of the flow equation. Circle vertices represent some vertices which are independent of the relative momenta.



Figure 3. UV-renormalized flow equation of the effective action. Arrows are attached to each propagators in order to discriminate the fields $\overline{\psi}$ and ψ associated with edges of lines.

3.1. UV-cutoff independence of flow equations with a vertex IR regulator

In the original form of the flow equation (8), we cannot naively remove the UV cutoff of the contact interaction. This is because the vertex IR regulator $g_{k_2}(p)$ does not introduce any UV-cutoff for loop momenta, and thus some loop diagrams are UV divergent. In resolving this difficulty, it is important to notice that the bare coupling constant appears in its analytic expression of the flow equation since $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2} = g^2 \partial_{k_2} R^{(b)}_{k_2} / (1 - g R^{(b)}_{k_2})^2$. In the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$, $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$ behaves as $(2\pi^2/m\Lambda)^2 \, \partial_{k_2} R^{(b)}_{k_2}$, and therefore it vanishes quadratically in terms of the UV cutoff Λ. Cancellation of the UV divergence happens by multiplying this quadratically small quantity $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}(p)$, so that the explicit UV-cutoff dependence turns out to be removable from the flow equation with the vertex IR regulator.

Since $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$ vanishes quadratically in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$, it must couple to UV-divergent diagrams for producing finite terms. The fermionic field theory (2) contains a unique UV-divergent diagram representing the particle-particle loop,

$$\Pi(p) = \int_{l \le \Lambda}^{(T)} \frac{1}{G^{-1}(\frac{p}{2} + l) G^{-1}(\frac{p}{2} - l)} = \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{9}$$

The diagram shown in Fig.2 is a UV-finite quantity $\Pi(p)^2 \partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}(p)$, and gives $\partial_{k_2} R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p})$ in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$:

$$\lim_{\Lambda \to \infty} \Pi(p)^2 \partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}(p) = \partial_{k_2} R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p}). \tag{10}$$

Since any other diagrams do not have such UV-divergences, only the diagrams containing the subdiagram in Fig.2 survive in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. In order to show this statement more rigorously, note that the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\overline{\psi},\psi]$ is a manifestly UV-finite quantity, and thus the l.h.s. of the flow equation in Fig.1 is well-defined in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. The same holds true also for 1PI vertices in the r.h.s. of Fig.1. Therefore, only possible divergences comes from fermion loops coupled to $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$ in Fig.1, but their multiplication turns out to be UV-finite.

Using this property, we can greatly simplify the flow equation of the effective action as in Fig.3, where bold lines and square vertices are field-dependent. In order to find an expression of the flow equation for each 1PI vertex function, we need to perform



Figure 4. Flow equation of the self energy Σ_{k_1,k_2} and the four-point vertex $\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}$ in terms of k_1.

the vertex expansion of $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\overline{\psi},\psi]$. For simplicity, we assume the symmetry-unbroken phase in the following, and therefore each vertex couples to the same number of fields ψ and of conjugate fields $\overline{\psi}$.

3.2. Vertex expansion of flow equations

To solve the flow equations (7) and (8) of parameters k_1 and k_2, we consider the following vertex expansion of Γ_{k_1,k_2} up to fourth order:

$$\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}[\overline{\psi},\psi]=\int_p^{(T)}\overline{\psi}_p[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}](p)\psi_p+\int_{p,q,q'}^{(T)}\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p)\overline{\psi}_{\uparrow,\frac{p}{2}+q}\overline{\psi}_{\downarrow,\frac{p}{2}-q}\psi_{\downarrow,\frac{p}{2}-q'}\psi_{\uparrow,\frac{p}{2}+q'}, \quad (11)$$

where Σ_{k_1,k_2} and $\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}$ are the self-energy and the four-point vertex, respectively. Here, dependence on relative momenta of the 1PI four-point vertex is not shown explicitly just for simplicity of expressions. Within this truncation up to fourth order of the vertex expansion, the flow equations of these quantities in terms of k_1 are shown in Fig.4 with $\widetilde{\partial}_{k_1}$ the k_1-derivative acting only on the explicit k_1-dependence of the fermionic IR regulator $R^{(f)}_{k_1}$. Their analytic formulae can be obtained by substituting (11) into the Wetterich equation (7):

$$\partial_{k_1}\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}(p) \;=\widetilde{\partial}_{k_1}\int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+}\frac{\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p+l)}{[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}](l)}, \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\partial_{k_1}\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p) =\widetilde{\partial}_{k_1}\Bigg[&\int_l^{(T)}\frac{\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p)\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p)}{[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}]\left(\frac{p}{2}+l\right)[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}]\left(\frac{p}{2}-l\right)}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\int_l^{(T)}\frac{\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}\left(\frac{p}{2}+q+l\right)\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}\left(\frac{p}{2}\pm q'+l\right)}{[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}](l)[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}](q\pm q'+l)}\Bigg]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By substituting the vertex expansion (11) into the flow equation in Fig.3, the flow equation of Σ_{k_1,k_2} and $\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}$ can be obtained. The diagrammatic expression is shown in Fig.5, and its analytic form is given by

$$\partial_{k_2}\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}(p) \;=-\int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+}\frac{\left(\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p+l)\right)^2\partial_{k_2}R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}](l)}, \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}-\partial_{k_2}\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p) =&\left(\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p)\right)^2\partial_{k_2}R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\boldsymbol{p})\\ &-\sum_{\pm}\int_l^{(T)}\frac{\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}\left(\frac{p}{2}+q+l\right)\left(\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}\left(\frac{p}{2}\pm q'+l\right)\right)^2\partial_{k_2}R^{(b)}_{k_2}(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2}\pm\boldsymbol{q}'+\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}](l)[G^{-1}-\Sigma_{k_1,k_2}+R^{(f)}_{k_1}](q\pm q'+l)}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$



Figure 5. Flow equation of the self energy Σ_{k_1,k_2} and the four-point vertex $\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}$ in terms of k_2.

When we write down the full momentum dependence of this flow equation, we should notice that only the relative-momentum independent part of each 1PI vertex can couple to $\partial_{k_2} g_{k_2}$ in the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. Such momentum independent parts can be extracted by putting the corresponding relative momentum to be infinity.

After solving the flow equations (12-15), we apply the Thouless criterion for the superfluid phase transition [16],

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma^{(4)}_{0,0}(p=0)} = 0, \tag{16}$$

which corresponds to the gap equation at $T = T_c$, and calculate the number density n:

$$n = -2 \int_p^{(T)} \frac{e^{-ip^0 0^+}}{G^{-1}(p) - \Sigma_{0,0}(p)}. \tag{17}$$

By combining these equations (16) and (17), one can relate the critical temperature T_c, number density n, chemical potential μ, and the scattering length a_s to calculate T_c/ε_F as a function of $(k_F a_s)^{-1}$ with $k_F = (3\pi n)^{1/3}$ and $\varepsilon_F = k_F^2/2m$.

3.3. Optimization of the f-FRG flow and requirements for IR regulators

There are vast degrees of freedom in the choice of IR regulators. The idea of optimization of the FRG flow equation is to use this degrees of freedom aggressively in order to build up a systematic approximation. By definition, the optimized IR regulator depends on an adopted approximation and its truncation of the flow equation. For bosonic theories, dependence on IR regulators of approximate FRG flows is studied in details within the local potential approximation (LPA), and an optimized regulator is proposed for LPA [17–19]. According to these studies, the optimized IR regulator satisfies following two properties in common besides the boundary condition specifying the start point of the RG flow at $k = \infty$:

(i) IR regulators R_k make the system gapped by a typical energy scale $k^2/2m$ of the scale parameter k.

(ii) High-energy excitations with excitation energy $\gtrsim k^2/2m$ must decouple from the flow equation.

With these requirements, low-energy excitations are equally gapped and high-energy excitations need not be taken into account for low-energy dynamics after renormalization. This helps us to establish a series of simple truncations of the flow equation in order to get systematically improvable results.

In our case, there are two different degrees of freedom to be gapped. One of them is a trivial one from the classical action: one-particle excitations of fermions. Since we introduced an IR regulating function $R_{k_1}^{(f)}$ in the fermion propagator, the above two condition can be readily satisfied for one-particle fermionic excitations by putting a Litim-type optimized regulators [17, 18]:

$$R_{k_1}^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{p}) \equiv Z_f\Big[\frac{k_1^2}{2m}\mathrm{sgn}(\xi(\boldsymbol{p})) - \xi(\boldsymbol{p})\Big]\theta\Big(\frac{k_1^2}{2m} - |\xi(\boldsymbol{p})|\Big), \tag{18}$$

where $\xi(\boldsymbol{p})$ denotes the excitation energy relative to the Fermi level, with Z_f the wave-function renormalization of fermionic fields.

The second one is the bosonic collective excitation, given as a pole of $\Gamma_{k_1,k_2}^{(4)}(p)$. Indeed, this gives another gapless excitation due to the Thouless criterion (16), and thus the four-point vertex gives the propagator of collective excitations. According to the flow equation in Fig.5, $\partial_{k_2}R_{k_2}^{(b)}$ can make high-energy collective excitations decoupled from the flow equation. Therefore, a Litim-type regulator is again expected to satisfy the above two conditions for an optimized IR regulator [17, 18]:

$$R_{k_2}^{(b)} \equiv Z_b\left(\frac{k_2^2}{4m} - \frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{4m}\right)\theta(k_2^2 - \boldsymbol{p}^2), \tag{19}$$

with Z_b the wave-function renormalization of the effective boson propagator.

We can convert the two-parameter flow equations (12-15) into a single-parameter flow equation by relating k_1 and k_2. In this paper, we simply put $k_1 = k_2(\equiv k)$, and denote $\Gamma_k[\overline{\psi},\psi] = \Gamma_{k,k}[\overline{\psi},\psi]$. Of course, this procedure again contains arbitrariness and we can further perform another optimization. One possible sophisticated example is to put $k_1 = ck_2$ with a constant parameter c, and to apply the principle of minimal sensitivity [20] to the result for T_c/ε_F: since physical quantities should not depend on the choice of c, we adopt the saddle-point value after calculating T_c/ε_F as a function of the constant c.

4. NSR theory from the viewpoint of fermionic FRG

In this section, we derive the NSR theory based on the f-FRG method and establish a firm connection between those two approaches. In the NSR theory [15], the bubble resummation is applied to the thermodynamic free energy in order to take into account collective excitations of fermion pairs: the critical temperature in the BCS-BEC crossover can be calculated as a function of $(k_F a_s)^{-1}$ solving the following two equations,

$$\frac{1}{a_s} = -\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty \sqrt{2m\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}\varepsilon\left[\frac{\tanh\frac{\beta}{2}(\varepsilon-\mu)}{2(\varepsilon-\mu)} - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\right], \tag{20}$$

$$n = -2\int_p^{(T)} e^{-ip^0 0^+}G(p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\int_p^{(T)} e^{-ip^0 0^+}\ln\left[1 + \frac{4\pi a_s}{m}\left(\Pi(p) - \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2}\right)\right]. \tag{21}$$

4.1. Pairing approximation on the four-point vertex

We first study the flow equation of the four-point 1PI vertex function, and find an approximation corresponding to the particle-particle random phase approximation (pp-RPA). Flow equations of the four-point 1PI vertex $\Gamma^{(4)}_{k_1,k_2}(p;q,q')$ are given in Figs.4 and 5.

By putting $k = k_1 = k_2$, we can construct the flow equation of $\Gamma^{(4)}_k = \Gamma^{(4)}_{k,k}$ with a single parameter k. In the flow equation of the four-point vertex, we only take into account the particle-particle correlation neglecting the self-energy correction:

$$-\partial_k \Gamma^{(4)}_k(p) = \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\left(\Gamma^{(4)}_k(p)\right)^2 \partial_k R^{(f)}_k(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2}-\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R^{(f)}_k]\left(\frac{p}{2}+l\right)[G^{-1}+R^{(f)}_k]^2\left(\frac{p}{2}-l\right)} + \left(\Gamma^{(4)}_k(p)\right)^2 \partial_k R^{(b)}_k(\boldsymbol{p}) \quad (22)$$

In this approximation, the solution becomes the four-point vertex in the pp-RPA [11, 13]. Since the fermion dispersion relation is given by the bare propagator, parameters of the IR regulator $R^{(f)}_k$ in (18) can be specified as $Z_f = 1$ and $\xi(\boldsymbol{p}) = \boldsymbol{p}^2/2m - \mu$. The analytic expression for the four-point vertex is

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma^{(4)}_k(p)} = \frac{m}{4\pi a_s} - R^{(b)}_k(\boldsymbol{p}) + \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^3}\left[\frac{1-\sum_\pm n_F\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{p}/2\pm\boldsymbol{\ell})^2}{2m}-\mu+R^{(f)}_k(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2}\pm\boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2/m + (ip^0+\frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{4m}-2\mu)+\sum_\pm R^{(f)}_k(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2}\pm\boldsymbol{\ell})} - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2/m}\right]. \quad (23)$$

Let us study the behavior of this flow equation in order to confirm that IR regularization of the collective excitations works well and to fix the parameter Z_b in $R^{(b)}_k$. For a second-order phase transition, the Thouless criterion (16) must be satisfied:

$$0 = \frac{1}{\Gamma^{(4)}_0(p=0)} = \frac{m}{4\pi a_s} + \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^3}\left[\frac{1-2n_F\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2}{2m}-\mu\right)}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2/m-2\mu} - \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2/m}\right], \quad (24)$$

with n_F the Fermi distribution function. This equation (24) is nothing but (20). From (24), chemical potential μ must be positive in the BCS region, $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \lesssim -1$, and negative in the BEC region, $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \gtrsim 1$. There exists low-energy fermions in the BCS side, but all the fermions are gapped due to the binding energy $1/2ma_s^2$ in the BEC side. Since the following analysis depends on the sign of the chemical potential, we consider separately the BCS and BEC sides.

The non-trivial part in the derivation of the NSR theory comes from the pairing fluctuation in the number equation (21). We derive it in the following section, Sec.4.3, by analyzing the structure of the flow equation for the self-energy in details. For that purpose, the k-dependence of the four-point 1PI vertex function is studied in the next subsection.

4.2. Structure of the k-dependent four-point 1PI vertex

In the BCS side, the chemical potential μ is positive, and then the condition $\xi(\boldsymbol{q}) = 0$ defines a Fermi sphere $\{|\boldsymbol{q}| = \sqrt{2m\mu}\}$. Using the Thouless criterion (24), (23) can be

rewritten as

$$\frac{-1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)} = R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{p}) - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{\pm} n_F\left(\xi(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2} \pm \boldsymbol{\ell}) + R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2} \pm \boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{2\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell}) + (ip^0 + \frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{4m}) + \sum_{\pm} R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2} \pm \boldsymbol{\ell})} - \frac{1 - 2n_F\left(\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{2\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \right]. \quad (25)$$

Since it suffices to calculate the constant part and the coefficient of p^0 to determine Z_b, let us put $\boldsymbol{p} = 0$ in (25) just for simplicity of calculations. In order to find the properties of low-energy collective excitations, we assume that $k^2/2m$ is much smaller that other energy scales, i.e. temperature and Fermi energy. Then, (25) becomes

$$\frac{-1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p^0, \boldsymbol{0})} = Z_k i p^0 + R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{0}) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\mu} \cdot k^6/T^3), \quad (26)$$

where Z_k is given by

$$Z_k = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\tanh\frac{\beta}{2}\left(\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell}) + R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{\left[2\left(\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell}) + R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{\ell})\right)\right]^2} \quad (27)$$

Since Z_k converges to a finite value in the limit $k \to 0$, we can set $Z_b = Z_0$ in (19) with

$$Z_0 = \mathrm{p.v.} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\tanh\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{\left[2\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell})\right]^2} \quad (28)$$

Here, p.v. denotes the principal-value integration. Due to the vertex IR regulator, the collective excitation is also gapped by $k^2/4m$ even when $k^2 \ll 2mT$. Effect of $R_k^{(f)}$ vanishes of the order of k^6 as $k \to 0$.

On the other hand, in the BEC side, the chemical potential μ is negative. Therefore, $\xi(\boldsymbol{q})(\geq |\mu|)$ is always positive, and $R_k^{(f)} = 0$ when $k < \sqrt{2m|\mu|} \sim 1/a_s$. When k is smaller than $\sqrt{2m|\mu|}$, the inverse propagator of collective excitations is given by

$$\frac{-1}{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)} = R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{p}) - \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{\ell}}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1 - \sum_{\pm} n_F\left(\xi(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2} \pm \boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{2\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell}) + (ip^0 + \frac{\boldsymbol{p}^2}{4m})} - \frac{1 - 2n_F\left(\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell})\right)}{2\xi(\boldsymbol{\ell})} \right]. \quad (29)$$

Again, setting $Z_b = Z_0$ with the same expression (28), the bosonic excitation is gapped by $k^2/4m$. Since the regulator inside the fermion propagator vanishes for small k, the vertex IR regulator plays a key role in the BEC side.

Combination of the IR regulator in fermion propagators and the vertex IR regulator controls both one-particle excitation of fermions and collective bosonic excitations. When k becomes smaller, the k-dependence of $\Gamma_k^{(4)}$ mainly comes from that of the vertex IR regulator so that we can find a good approximation

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \simeq \partial_{k_2} \Gamma_{k_1,k_2}^{(4)}(p)\Big|_{k_1=k_2=k} = \left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)\right)^2 \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(p) \quad (30)$$

for small k's. Indeed, the neglected term vanishes in the BEC side and is also smaller by a factor $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\mu}k^4/Z_0T^3)$ even with the positive $\mu > 0$ in the BCS side. This approximation will play an important role in Sec.4.3 to calculate the momentum dependence of the self-energy.

4.3. Flow of self-energy and the number density

We consider the flow equation of the self-energy $\Sigma_k(p) = \Sigma_{k,k}(p)$ with a single parameter k. According to (12) and (14), the flow equation is given by

$$\partial_k \Sigma_k(p) = -\int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \left[\frac{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)\ \partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}]^2(l)} + \frac{\left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)\right)^2 \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{p}+\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}](l)} \right], \quad (31)$$

where the self-energy corrections in the loop integrals are neglected, and $\Gamma_k^{(4)}$ in (31) is already calculated in Sec.4.1.

Let us first analyze the self-energy correction for large scale parameters k. Since all kinds of excitations are gapped sufficiently if k is large, and the momentum-dependent part of Σ_k must be small. In the BCS side, there are gapped fermions inside the Fermi sphere even when k is large, so that the self-energy itself can be comparable with the chemical potential. However, it only shifts the chemical potential to the Fermi energy and does not affect the low-energy dynamics. As a result, its effect on T_c/ε_F is not significant since T_c and ε_F are shifted in the same way [11, 12]. In the BEC side, since the number density becomes exponentially small for large k, so is the self-energy [13]. Therefore, we do not take into account the large-k behavior of self-energy so seriously in this paper, and concentrate on the low-k behavior.

When k becomes smaller, we can use the approximation given in (30). Within this approximation, the flow equation of the self-energy (31) becomes

$$\partial_k \Sigma_k(p) = \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \left[-\frac{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)\ \partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}]^2(l)} + \frac{\partial_k \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}](l)} \right]. \quad (32)$$

Since the r.h.s. of (32) is a total derivative in terms of k, we can find its solution as

$$\Sigma_k(p) = \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \frac{\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p+l)}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}](l)}. \quad (33)$$

This expression of the self-energy is nonlocal in the coordinate representation. This is the reason why two different kinds of regulators are introduced: the optimization of the flow equation is necessary in order to justify the simple treatment of such self-energy correction.

The number density of fermions n can now be evaluated by using the formula (17) with the self-energy in (33). Since we totally neglect the self-energy corrections in the loop integrals inside flow equations (22) and (32), higher-order contributions of the self-energy are just ambiguous parts of this approximation. By taking into account the effect of Σ_0 up to first-order in (17), the number density n is given by

$$\begin{aligned} n &= -2\int_p^{(T)} G(p) - 2\int_p^{(T)} G(p)^2 \Sigma_0(p) \\ &= -2\int_p^{(T)} G(p) + 2\int_{p,l}^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} G(p)^2 G(l-p)\Gamma_0^{(4)}(l) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -2\int_p^{(T)} G(p) + \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} \ln \Gamma_0^{(4)}(l). \tag{34}$$

This is nothing but the number equation of the NSR theory, (21), since pp-RPA gives

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_0^{(4)}(p)} = \frac{1}{g} + \Pi(p) = \frac{m}{4\pi a_s} + \left(\Pi(p) - \frac{m\Lambda}{2\pi^2}\right). \tag{35}$$

In order to show the equality in the last line of (34), we should notice the following equality: by taking the μ-derivative of the both sides of (23), one can find that

$$2\int_l^{(T)} G(l)^2 G(p-l) = \left(\Gamma_0^{(4)}(p)\right)^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}\Gamma_0^{(4)}(p). \tag{36}$$

We established an approximation of the f-FRG flow equation describing the BCS-BEC crossover: the Thouless criterion (24) and the number equation (34) give the BCS gap equation (20) at $T = T_c$ and the number equation (21) of the NSR theory, respectively. According to this result, the set of equations (12-15) turns out to be the minimal setup of f-FRG to describe the whole region of the BCS-BEC crossover.

In Appendix A, we show that the same expression of the number density can be derived through the calculation of the free energy within our f-FRG formalism.

5. Perspectives of fermionic FRG to go beyond the NSR theory

Since we have established our approximation of the f-FRG flow equations step-by-step in order to derive the NSR theory, it becomes crystal-clear what should be done in order to extend approximations. There are various possibilities of improvements in order to calculate T_c/ε_F for more strongly-correlated systems: For example, solving the coupled flow equations of the four-point vertex and the self-energy is important when the magnitude of the self-energy becomes comparable with that of the chemical potential. The effect of the particle-hole loops in the flow of the four-point coupling will change the Thouless criterion, and it is important to find the Gorkov and Melik-Barkhudarov correction of the critical temperature in the BCS side [11, 12, 14]. Taking into account the six- or higher-point vertices gives an information of the scattering inside the matter, and it must be important to consider the dynamics of collective bosonic excitations.

In order to observe these features from the viewpoint of the renormalization group in an explicit way, we analyze the detailed structure of the f-FRG flow equation in the both extreme limits $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \to \pm\infty$ of the BCS-BEC crossover. This analysis will open a systematic understanding of the crossover physics by clarifying the origin of important contributions in the flow equation.

5.1. Details of the FRG flow in the BCS regime

In the deep BCS regime, $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \to -\infty$, low-energy degrees of freedom are fermions in the vicinity of the Fermi surface and the collective excitation as a pole of the four-point function $\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)$. In this region, we can divide the parameter region of the flow

parameter k into three parts by the structure of the flow equation: $k^2/2m \gg k_F^2/2m$, $T \ll k^2/2m \ll k_F^2/2m$, and $k^2/2m \ll T$.

In the high-energy region, $k^2/2m \gg k_F^2/2m$, there are only fermionic excitations. Depending on the magnitude of the spatial momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$ of fermions, the IR regulated propagator of fermions has different features:

$$(G^{-1}(p) - \Sigma_k(p) + R_k^{(f)}(p)) \simeq \begin{cases} ip^0 - k^2/2m & (|\boldsymbol{p}| < k_F^2/2m) \\ ip^0 + k^2/2m & (|\boldsymbol{p}| > k_F^2/2m) \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Therefore, the number density n_k of this IR regulated system is fixed by $k_F^3/3\pi^2$. In spite of the finite number density even when $k^2/2m \gg k_F^2/2m$, the optimization condition is satisfied since all the low-energy fermionic excitations are gapped by $k^2/2m$ around the Fermi surface. If a Feynman diagram in the flow equation contains any closed loops, each closed loop produces a factor related to the number density $n_k \sim k_F^3/3\pi^2$ at the scale k. Since we consider the limit $|k_F a_s| \ll 1$, counting of such closed fermion loop will give a good expansion in terms of the number density. This expansion method is related to the hole-line expansion used in the calculation of the equation of state for nuclear matters, and the structure of the flow equation under this expansion will be reported elsewhere [21].

In the case of the four-point vertex function, the leading order contribution comes from the particle-particle correlation, and its explicit form is given in (25). Since k is large, the momentum dependence of $\Gamma_k^{(4)}$ is very weak and its leading term turns out to be

$$\Gamma_k^{(4)} \simeq 1/\left(\frac{m}{4\pi a_s} - Z_0\frac{k^2}{4m} - \frac{mk}{3\pi^2}\right). \tag{38}$$

Although other Feynman diagrams of the four-point vertex function are suppressed by a factor $(k_F/k)^3$ due to a closed fermion loop, when k goes down to the scale of k_F they can provide same order contributions in the flow equation. Indeed, the next order contribution at this scale produces the Gorkov and Melik-Barkhudarov correction, which reduces the critical temperature by a factor 2.2 from the BCS critical temperature.

It is also important to notice that the self-energy Σ_k has a significant magnitude due to the finite number density of the system. In the leading order contribution (33), the magnitude of the self-energy behaves roughly as the number density times the effective four-point coupling. When $k \simeq k_F$, such quantity can be comparable with the magnitude of the fermion chemical potential [11, 12]. In order to reproduce this contribution using the flow equation of f-FRG, it is important to take into account the frequency dependence of the four-point function and the higher-point vertex functions.

In the intermediate-energy region, $T \ll k^2/2m \ll k_F^2/2m$, the f-FRG flow becomes consistent with Shanker's RG analysis [5]. Due to the existence of the Fermi surface, the flow of the four-point vertex functions decouples depending on the spatial momentum: when the total momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$ is small, only the particle-particle correlation contributes to the flow equation. This feature justifies the Fermi liquid theory when $k^2/2m \gg T$, and leads to the BCS theory as a low-energy effective theory when $k^2/2m \simeq T$. Around this

scale, the momentum dependence of the four-point vertex function becomes important and the collective bosonic excitation emerges.

In the low-energy region, $k^2/2m \ll T$, only the collective bosonic excitations are dynamical and fermions are decoupled from the flow equation due to nonzero Matsubara frequencies. Indeed, the effect of $R_k^{(f)}$ to the four-point vertex function vanishes as k^6/T^3 in (26). The nonlocal dependence of fermion vertex functions develops at this energy scale due to the existence of low-energy collective excitations, and the dominant k-dependence of each vertex function comes from $R_k^{(b)}$.

5.2. Details of the FRG flow in the BEC regime

In the BEC regime, $(k_F a_s)^{-1} \to \infty$, low-energy degrees of freedom are composite bosons, which are described as a pole of $\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)$. The region of the scale parameter k can be divided into three parts: $k^2/2m \gg 1/2ma_s^2$, $T \ll k^2/2m \ll 1/2ma_s^2$, and $k^2/2m \ll T$.

In the BEC side, the real part of the fermion propagator is always positive and thus there are no hole excitations. Since all excitations are correctly gapped in order to satisfy the optimization criterion in Sec.3.3, the number density of the system is exponentially suppressed: $n_k \sim \exp\left(-(k^2/4m)/T\right)$. Therefore, we can neglect any fermion closed loops as long as $k^2/2m \gg T$, and the diagrammatic structure of the f-FRG flow reduces to the flow equation in the vacuum.

When k goes down to the scale of the temperature, Feynman diagrams with closed fermion loops start to contribute in the flow equation. Through these closed loops, the effect of the interaction between composite bosons are taken into account, and the critical temperature and the number density are affected through the self-energy and the four-point vertex function. In order to estimate their typical magnitude, let us evaluate the magnitude of the self-energy in an approximated form (33) [13]:

$$|\Sigma_k(p)| \lesssim \frac{1}{2ma_s^2} \times (\sqrt{2mT}a_s)^3 \times n_B(k^2/4m), \tag{39}$$

with n_B the Bose distribution function. Therefore, when $k^2/4m \simeq T$, this expression is free from an exponential suppression. In the deep BEC limit, however, it is still a small quantity compared with the fermion chemical potential, and they become comparable at $(k^2/2m)/T \simeq (k_F a_s)^3 \ll 1$.

6. Summary

The new formulation of fermionic FRG is proposed by introducing multiple infrared regulators, and it is applied to the two-component fermionic system in order to study the whole region of the BCS-BEC crossover. The Nozières-Schmitt-Rink (NSR) theory of the BCS-BEC crossover is derived based on this new formalism of f-FRG, and thus this study reveals the minimal setup of f-FRG for the BCS-BEC crossover. Since the NSR theory captures qualitative understandings of the crossover, this provides physical intuitions on the structure of the f-FRG flow equation.

In order to control the RG flow and to treat approximations systematically, the optimization of f-FRG is employed. Since optimization of FRG requires to control all of the low-energy excitations by IR regulators, we combined two FRG methods of different kinds of IR regulators inside fermion propagators and four-fermion vertices. This enables us to control both fermionic one-particle excitations and bosonic collective excitations, so as to make approximations on the flow equation controllable. With the approximate solutions of the flow equation, the Thouless criterion and calculation of the fermion number density give the BCS gap equation at $T = T_c$ and the number equation of the NSR theory, respectively.

The most difficult part in this derivation of the NSR theory is to take into account the effect of pairing fluctuations in the fermion number density. Since the number density is calculated from the full Green function in f-FRG, its effect must be included in the flow equation of the fermion self-energy. Since fermions couple to those bosonic collective excitations, the fermion self-energy becomes nonlocal in the coordinate space and is difficult to parametrize. Optimization makes fermionic excitations decoupled from the flow equation at low-energy scales and controls low-energy collective excitations, which justifies a simple approximation on the flow equation of the self-energy. This makes nontrivial momentum dependence of the self-energy small for the most part of the f-FRG flow. The flow equation of f-FRG becomes controllable thanks to optimization by two different IR regulators.

Since our approximations are constructed based on the careful study of the flow equation, it makes clear the way to exceed the NSR theory. We discussed some candidates for the improvement by reviewing important aspects of f-FRG for the fermionic system with a contact interaction.

Appendix A. Calculation of the free energy and the consistency check on the number equation

We derived the number equation (21) by calculating the expectation value of the composite operator $\overline{\psi}\psi$ using the full fermion propagator $(G^{-1} - \Sigma_0)^{-1}$. On the other hand, one can also calculate the number density by using the thermodynamic relation

$$n = \frac{-1}{\beta V}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\Gamma_0(\beta, \mu), \tag{A.1}$$

with V the volume of the system, and where $\Gamma_0(\beta, \mu)/\beta$ is the free energy, which is obtained as the field-independent part of the 1PI effective action $\Gamma_0[\overline{\psi}, \psi]$. Since the field independent part $\Gamma_k(\beta, \mu)$ of $\Gamma_k[\overline{\psi}, \psi]$ is also calculable within f-FRG, the consistency of approximations can be verified through comparison of number densities obtained in these two different ways. In this appendix, we solve the f-FRG flow equation for the free energy and show that the same formula for the number density is obtained also by using the formula (A.1).

The Wetterich equation (7) and the flow equation in Fig.3 with the vertex IR regulator give

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)/\beta V = \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}-\Sigma_k+R_k^{(f)}](l)} - \int_p^{(T)} \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)\partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{p}). \quad \text{(A.2)}$$

Notice that the boundary condition of this flow equation (A.2) may not be trivial: the definition of the free energy gives

$$\Gamma_\infty(\beta,\mu) = -\lim_{k\to\infty} 2\beta V \int_l^{(T)} e^{-il^0 0^+} \ln\left[G^{-1}(l)-\Sigma_k(l)+R_k^{(f)}(l)\right], \quad \text{(A.3)}$$

After subtracting the zero-point energy, this expression gives

$$\Gamma_\infty(\beta,\mu) = 2\beta V \lim_{k\to\infty} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\boldsymbol{l}}{(2\pi)^3} \ln\left[1+\exp -\beta\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{l}^2}{2m}-\mu+R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})\right)\right]. \quad \text{(A.4)}$$

This is a divergent quantity dependent on β and μ if $\mu > 0$ and should be subtracted from $\Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)$ for finite k.

The effect of the self-energy correction in the fermion loop is again taken into account up to the first order, and then the flow equation (A.2) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)/\beta V = &\int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}](l)} + \int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\Sigma_k(l)\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}]^2(l)} \\ &- \int_p^{(T)} \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)\partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{p}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.5)}$$

Substitution of the explicit form (33) into (A.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)/\beta V = &\int_l^{(T)} \frac{-2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}](l)} \\ &- \int_p^{(T)} \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p) \left[\int_l^{(T)} \frac{2\partial_k R_k^{(f)}(\frac{\boldsymbol{p}}{2}-\boldsymbol{l})}{[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}]\left(\frac{p}{2}+l\right)[G^{-1}+R_k^{(f)}]^2\left(\frac{p}{2}-l\right)} + \partial_k R_k^{(b)}(\boldsymbol{p})\right]. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(A.6)}$$

Combining (22) and (A.6), we obtain

$$\partial_k \Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)/\beta V = -2\int_l^{(T)} \partial_k \ln[G^{-1}(l)+R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})] - \int_p^{(T)} \partial_k \ln \Gamma_k^{(4)}(p). \quad \text{(A.7)}$$

Since both sides of (A.7) are total derivatives in terms of k, we can readily obtain the following expression for $\Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)$ up to some constant independent of the chemical potential μ:

$$\Gamma_k(\beta,\mu)/\beta V = -2\int_l^{(T)} \ln[G^{-1}(l)+R_k^{(f)}(\boldsymbol{l})] + \int_p^{(T)} \ln\left[\frac{4\pi a_s}{m}\left(\Gamma_k^{(4)}(p)\right)^{-1}\right]. \quad \text{(A.8)}$$

Therefore, the formula (A.1) gives the same expression (21) of the number density in the NSR theory, and the consistency of our treatment of self-energy is shown in an explicit way.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks to Tetsuo Hatsuda for careful reading drafts of the manuscript. The author is grateful for comments by Gergely Fejős. Y. T. is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. This work was partially supported by RIKEN iTHES project and by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

References

[1] C. Wetterich, Physics Letters B **301**, 90 (1993).

[2] T. R. Morris, International Journal of Modern Physics A **9**, 2411 (1994).

[3] U. Ellwanger, Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields **62**, 503 (1994).

[4] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, Physics Reports **12**, 75 (1974).

[5] R. Shankar, Reviews of Modern Physics **66**, 129 (1994).

[6] M. Salmhofer and C. Honerkamp, Prog.Theor.Phys. **105**, 1 (2001).

[7] R. Gersch, C. Honerkamp, and W. Metzner, New Journal of Physics **10**, 045003 (2008).

[8] W. Metzner, M. Salmhofer, C. Honerkamp, V. Meden, and K. Schönhammer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 299 (2012).

[9] D. M. Eagles, Phys. Rev. **186**, 456 (1969).

[10] A. Leggett, in *Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 115 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1980) pp. 13–27.

[11] Y. Tanizaki, G. Fejős, and T. Hatsuda, (2013), arXiv:1310.5800 [cond-mat.quant-gas] .

[12] Y. Tanizaki, G. Fejős, and T. Hatsuda (2013) arXiv:1311.4229 [cond-mat.quant-gas] .

[13] Y. Tanizaki, (2013), arXiv:1311.4157 [cond-mat.quant-gas] .

[14] L. Gorkov and T. Melik-Barkhudarov, Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 1018 (1961).

[15] P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **59**, 195 (1985).

[16] D. Thouless, Annals of Physics **10**, 553 (1960).

[17] D. Litim, Physics Letters B **486**, 92 (2000).

[18] D. Litim, Physical Review D **64**, 105007 (2001).

[19] J. M. Pawlowski, Annals of Physics **322**, 2831 (2007).

[20] P. Stevenson, Physical Review D **23**, 2916 (1981).

[21] Y. Tanizaki, K. Masuda, and T. Hatsuda, In preparation.